UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,432	12/15/2003	Alpaslan Demir	I-2-0537.1US	3424
<sup>24374</sup> VOLPE AND I	7590 06/08/200 KOENIG. P.C.	EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC	ŕ	NGUYEN, DUC M		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH			2618	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	10/736,432	DEMIR ET AL.				
omos Asaon Sammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Duc M. Nguyen	2618				
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .	1				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	wn from conșideration. d.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2618

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's response filed on 10/12/04. Claims *1-5*, 7-14, 16-23, 25-31 are now pending in the present application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC ∋ 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims **1-5, 10-14, 28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Kurihara** (US Pat. Number **6,721,370**).

Regarding claims **1, 10, Kurihara** discloses a communication system (see Fig. 3), comprising:

- an automatic gain control (AGC) circuit which receives and adjusts the gain of a communication signal, the AGC being controlled by a gain control signal (see Fig. 3, refs. 15, 11); and
- an insertion phase variation compensation module (see ref. 14 in Fig. 3, phase correction unit) which continuously counteracts the effects of phase offsets introduced into the communication signal by the AGC circuit, based on the gain control signal (see c col. 3, lines 23-30 and ol. 3, line 47 col. 4, line 25).
  - a look up table (see Fig. 1A regarding table 2a) in communication with the

Art Unit: 2618

modem (baseband processor 31 in Fig. 3) and the insertion phase variation compensation module (refs. 14, 24 in Fig. 3), wherein the LUT receives the gain control signal from the modem and provides estimates of the phase offsets to the insertion phase variation compensation module as a function of the gain control signal (see col. 3, lines 23-30 and col. 3, line 47 - col. 4, line 25).

Regarding claim **28**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein it is clear that **Kurihara** would disclose steps (a) through (e) as claimed (see col. 3, line 47 – col. 4, line 25).

Regarding claims **2-5, 11-14, Kurihara** would teach an analog-to-digital converter ADC, phase variation module and a processor as claimed (see Fig. 3 regarding refs. 13, 14, 31, 15), noting that the combination of the baseband processor 31 and gain controller 15 would function in the similar way as of the claimed "modem".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC ∋ 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **19-23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Kurihara.**

Regarding claims 19-23, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth

Art Unit: 2618

in claims 2-5 above. In addition, since the use of an IC circuit for a CDMA communication device as disclosed by **Kurihara** is well known in the art, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Kurihara to provide the IC circuit as claimed, for utilizing advantages of IC circuits such as cost, light weight.

5. Claims **7-9**, **16-18**, **25-27**, **29-31** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Kurihara** in view of **Hiramatsu et al** (US Patent Number **5,933,112**).

Regarding claims **7-9**, **Kurihara** would teach all the claimed limitations, see claims 1, 19 above, except for provided estimates include a Sin function and a Cos function of a phase offset, x. However, in an analogous art, **Hiramatsu** teaches a phase offset correction wherein estimation of compensation errors include a Sin function and a Cos function of a phase offset (see Figs. 2, 13 and col. 8, line 46 – col. 9, line 50 noting for exponential function  $e^{j\theta} = \cos \theta + j \sin \theta$ ). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art of phase offset correction modules to modify Kurihara to include a Sin function and a Cos function of a phase offset to account for AFC processing errors, for further improving the performance of the receiver.

Regarding claims **16-18**, **25-27**, **29-31**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 7-9 above.

6. Claims **7-9**, **16-18**, **25-27**, **29-31** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Kurihara** in view of **Nara et al** (US Patent Number **6,340,833**).

Regarding claims **7-9**, **Kurihara** would teach all the claimed limitations, see claims 1, 19 above, except for provided estimates include a Sin function and a Cos

Art Unit: 2618

function of a phase offset, x. However, it is noted that the estimation of compensation errors that include a Sin function and a Cos function of a phase offset is well known in the art as disclosed by **Nara** (see col. 6, lines 16-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art of phase offset correction modules to modify Kurihara to include a Sin function and a Cos function of a phase offset as claimed, in order to provide the estimation more accurately.

Regarding claims **16-18**, **25-27**, **29-31**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 7-9 above.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10, 19, 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See the attached PTO-892.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for **formal** communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2618

(571)-273-7893 (for informal or **draft** communications).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7893, Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Or to Matthew Anderson (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (571) 272-4177.

Duc M. Nguyen, P.E.

May 31, 2007